

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,860	02/08/2001	Mitsuru Iwasaki	040679-1209	6172
75	90 05/22/2002			
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER	
Washington Harbour Suite 500 3000 K Street N. W. Washington, DC 20007-5109			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILMG DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NUMBER FORST NAMED APPLICANT

> EXMINER ART UNIT DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	7
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pro accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 21	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims	•
☐ Ctaim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 3, 12 th 14-24	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Cjaim(e)	is/are allowed.
1-2,4-11 and 13	ls/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/arc	objected to by the Examiner.
	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docum	ents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	
*Certified copies not received:	
. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	I19(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWI	NG PAGES

PTOL-325 (Rev. 10/95)

e U.S. GPO: 1998-410-238/40050

Art Unit:

Response to Election

Applicant's election of species J as illustrated in Figure 13 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 3, 12 and 14-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7. The elected species does not disclose the limitations of claim 14 and 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-2 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, the recitations "the innermost one" and "the innermost end" lack antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit:

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-2, 4-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. ('518) in view of Nishishita et al. ('368). The patent of Sugimoto et al. ('518) discloses all the claimed features of the invention with the exception of the flat part having a third louver

The patent of Nishishita et al. ('368) discloses that it is known to have a louver(s) (51,52) in the flat part of the fin for the purpose of increasing the fins' flat part stength and obstructing heat transfer. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Sugimoto et al. ('518) a louver(s) in the flat part of the fin for the purpose of increasing the fins' flat part stength and obstructing heat transfer as disclosed in Nishishita et al. ('368). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed spacing distance and tube widths since such modifications would have involved a mere change in the relationship of the parts which does not solve any stated problem or produce any new and/or unexpected result.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,860

Page 4

Art Unit:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

PRIMARY EXAMIN

May 20, 2002